IN 15 20h

IN THE COMMONWEALTH COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Joel S. Ario,

Insurance Commissioner of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania,

Plaintiff

No. 269 M.D. 2001

Reliance Insurance Company,

٧.

Defendant

RE: Liquidator's Report and Recommendation on Undisputed Guaranty Association Administrative Expenses Submitted through December 31, 2007

ORDER

AND NOW, this 14th day of January, 2010, upon consideration of the Liquidator's Petition for Approval of Report and Recommendation on Undisputed Guaranty Association Administrative Expenses Submitted through December 31, 2007 ("Petition"), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Petition is GRANTED;
- 2. The Reliance Legal Expense Settlement Agreement attached to the Petition as Exhibit B and attached hereto as "Exhibit A" is hereby APPROVED;
- The Total Undisputed Administrative Expenses listed on the Report attached to the Petition as Exhibit D and attached hereto as "Exhibit B" are hereby APPROVED as class (a) claims for the "expenses of a guaranty association in handling claims";

Further, the Court finds that the Total Undisputed Administrative Expenses listed on the Report attached to the Petition as Exhibit D were necessary, reasonable and incurred in the best interest of the Estate.

The Liquidator shall serve this Order on the Master Service List and an affidavit with this Court affirming that service was effectuated.

BONNIE BRIGANCE LEADBETTER, President Judge

Cortified from the Record

JAN 1 5 2010

and Order Exit

RELIANCE LEGAL EXPENSE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Legal Expense Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is entered into by and between the following (collectively referred to herein as the "Parties"): (i) Reliance Insurance Company In Liquidation ("Reliance") and (ii) the Guaranty Associations listed on Exhibit A to this Agreement (the "Participating Guaranty Associations"). This Agreement is entered into as of March 27, 2008, and shall be effective as of that date.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on October 3, 2001, the Pennsylvania Commonwealth Court entered an Order of Liquidation against Reliance with a finding of insolvency and thereafter, the Cuaranty Associations assumed certain policy obligations of Reliance and began handling certain Reliance claims, subject to the conditions and limitations of the Guaranty Associations' respective state statutes;

WHERBAS, under Pennsylvania's statute governing the order of distribution in a liquidation, 40 P.S. §221.44, "the expenses of a guaranty association in handling claims" are considered Class (a) administrative expenses. The financial condition of the Reliance estate has permitted Class (a) expenses to be 100% reimbursed.

WHEREAS, the Guaranty Associations report certain legal expenses as Class (a) priority administrative expenses ("Legal Expenses" as defined below) and Reliance has identified certain of these Legal Expenses which will not be reimbursed at any priority or may be reimbursed at a priority other than Class (a) ("Disputed Legal Expenses" as defined below) and the Guaranty Associations disagree with Reliance's determination as to the Disputed Legal Expenses.

WHEREAS, in lieu of the Guaranty Associations having to produce, and Reliance having to review, every Legal Expense in order to identify, quantify and deduct all Disputed Legal Expenses, the Parties have instead negotiated a formula and protocol by which Legal Expenses

will be reduced to reflect Disputed Legal Expenses and have decided to enter into this Legal Expense Settlement to conserve the assets of the Reliance estate, to reduce the time and expense that each party would have to incur in connection with the reimbursement of Legal Expenses, including the costs of any litigation, and in order to resolve this dispute.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and the mutual agreements, covenants and provisions contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency of which the Parties to this Agreement hereby acknowledge, and intending to be legally bound, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1. <u>DEFINITIONS.</u> The following terms as used in this Agreement are defined as follows:
- a. Administrative Expenses: All of the expenses reported as Class (a) priority administrative expenses, including Logal Expenses, in the quarterly Guaranty Association limancial reports submitted to Reliance.
- b. Coordinating Committee Legal Expenses: Those Legal Expenses billed by Tom Jenkins, as Chair of the Reliance Coordinating Committee, solely for activities of the Reliance Coordinating Committee.
 - c. Disputed Legal Expenses: Those Legal Expenses listed on Exhibit C.
- d. Dykema Legal Expenses: Those Legal Expenses billed by the Dykema law firm solely in connection with the resolution of this Legal Expense Settlement.
 - e. Exhibit B: Multiple Tiered Percentage Reduction Analysis.
 - f. Exhibit C: A list of Disputed Legal Expenses.
 - g. Issue Carve-Outs: Those Legal Expenses described in paragraph 5.

- Large Deductible Legal Expenses: Those Legal Expenses to which each h. Guaranty Association certifies, subject to agreement by Reliance, in the Guaranty Association Certification of Legal Expenses Pursuant to Paragraph 4(C) of the Large Deductible Settlement Agreement entered into between the Liquidator and the Guaranty Associations effective June 20, 2006, as part of the resolution of the large deductible litigation.
- Legal Expenses: Those expenses (both time and expense) reported to Reliance i. cithor:
 - (i) in the legal expense category on the quarterly Guaranty Association financial reports; or
 - (li) through ad hoc reporting of expenses not isolated within quarterly financial reports,

for reimbursement as Class (a) priority administrative expenses.

- Money Carve-Outs: Those Legal Expenses described in paragraph 4. Í.
- Percentage Reductions: The Legal Expense reimbursement reductions of 25% k. or 3% described in paragraph 2.
- Revised Administrative Expenses: Reported Administrative Expenses reduced 1. by the Issue Carve-Outs, Money Carve-Outs, Coordinating Committee Legal Expenses, Dykema Legal Exponses, and Large Deductible Legal Exponses.
- Revised Legal Expenses: Reported Legal Expenses adjusted by deducting any m. reported legal expenses for the Issue Carve-Outs, Money Carve-Outs, Coordinating Committee Legal Expenses, Dykema Legal Expenses, and Large Deductible Legal Expenses.
- Revised Ratio: The ratio of Revised Legal Expenses to Revised Administrative n. Expenses.

2. REIMBURSEMENT REDUCTION FOR LEGAL EXPENSES.

- have negotiated a Percentage Reduction which reflects the Disputed Legal Expenses, so that, with some exceptions noted below, Reliance will reimburse the Participating Guaranty

 Associations' Legal Expenses as Class (a) expenses subject to a Percentage Reduction. The Percentage Reduction is based upon:
 - (i) the amount of the Revised Legal Expenses; and/or
 - (ii) the ratio of Revised Legal Expenses to Revised Administrative Expenses.

 Those Participating Guaranty Associations with:
 - (iii) Revised Legal Expenses in excess of \$250,000 or
 - (iv) Revised Legal Expenses in excess of \$100,000 and up to \$250,000, plus a Revised Ratio of 10% or greater,

will be subject to a 25% reduction of their Revised Legal Expenses.

The remaining Participating Guaranty Associations will be subject to an 8% reduction of their Revised Logal Expenses.

- b. Outstanding Requests for Information. Reliance has outstanding information requests to certain Participating Quaranty Associations for details regarding specific Legal Expenses. Once the requested information is provided and the Legal Expenses are properly reported to and substantiated by Reliance, the estimated Percentage Reduction assigned to that Participating Guaranty Association on Exhibit B may change.
- o. Guaranty Associations Not Participating In the Settlement. A small number of Guaranty Associations have elected not to participate in this Agreement ("Non-Participating. Guaranty Associations"). To the extent that any Non-Participating Guaranty Association seeks

to participate in this Agreement in the future, Reliance will review at that time the Legal Expense submission information and determine the applicable Percentage Reduction. A Non-Participating Guaranty Association may become a Participating Guaranty Association and signatory to this Agreement at any time under the Opt-In provision in paragraph 10. To the extent, however, that any Non-Participating Guaranty Association does seek to submit Legal Expenses for reinibursement not pursuant to this Agreement, those Legal Expenses will be subject to Reliance's full review procedures and allowance guidelines. Several of the Non-Participating Guaranty Associations are using statutory deposits to fund their expenses.

- Notice of Determination. For purposes of this Legal Expense Settlement only, đ. any Percentage Reduction will be given a Notice of Determination ("NOD") with a Class (c) priority and \$-0- allowed amount. The Participating Guaranty Associations agree that they will not object to this priority and/or allowed amount.
- Application to Legal Expenses Only. The Legal Expense Settlement set forth in Ò, this Agreement applies only to those expenses reported in the Legal Expense category. If an expense which would otherwise be a Disputed Legal Expense is reported in any other category of Administrative Expenses, those Disputed Legal Expenses will be deducted in full from the Guaranty Association's Administrative Expenses Submission reimbursement and an NOD with an appropriate priority and allowed amount, as determined by the Liquidator, will be issued.
- SETTLEMENT PARAMETERS. The Percentage Reduction applied to each 3. Participating Guaranty Association will be based on information submitted through the Second Quarter of 2006 as shown on Exhibit B, subject to the outstanding information requests noted in paragraph 2, and will be applied to Legal Expenses submitted through December 31, 2006 Thereafter, the assigned Percentage Reduction will be applied to all of that Guaranty

Association's future Legal Expense submissions on a quarterly basis for the life of the Reliance estate unless a different Percentage Reduction is warranted as a result of:

- a. New information submitted or discovered as a result of a specific request to a Participating Guaranty Association or as a result of a subsequent Legal Expense or Administrative Expense review; or
- b. A Participating Guaranty Association requests an adjustment in its

 Percentage Reduction and satisfies Reliance, through detailed analysis with supporting
 documentation, that a different percentage should be applicable.

If the Percentage Reduction is adjusted, that adjustment will be applied retroactively to the time frame to which the adjustment applies. If necessary, amended NODs will be issued.

4. MONEY CARVE-OUT. If Legal Expenses in the amount of \$25,000 or more and relating to a single issue or claim are identified through a desktop, on-site, or closed claim file review, the specific expenses relating to the single issue or claim will be analyzed by Reliance on an individual basis and may be disputed or reclassified as a different priority (a. "Money Carve Out"). Reliance has already identified Money Carve-Outs for certain Participating Guaranty Associations and, prior to implementation of this Agreement, those Participating Guaranty Associations will be required to quantify the total Money Carve-Out amount charged to Reliance. Reliance reserves the right to identify further Money Carve-Outs for any Participating Guaranty Associations, even after implementation of this Agreement, and to adjust applicable Percentage Reductions accordingly. NODs will be issued for any identified Money Carve-Outs with an appropriate allowed amount and Class (e) priority, unless the particular expense should have been submitted as a Class (b) allocated claim expense. For Money Carve-Out amounts which should have been filed as an allocated Class (b) expense, the

Guaranty Associations may elect to properly reclassify and code these expenses to the applicable claim file. The amount of the Money Carve-Outs will not be included in the Revised Legal Expenses subject to the Percentage Reduction. Participating Guaranty Associations will have an opportunity to object to the priority and/or the allowed amounts of the NODs issued for Money Carve-Outs through the claims procedures established for the administration of Guaranty Association claims by Order of the Commonwealth Court dated November 19, 2007 ("GA Claims Order").

- 5. ISSUE CARVE-OUT. The following Legal Expenses have been determined to be Issue Carve-Outs:
 - a. Receivership case studies;
 - b. Allocated Loss Adjustment Expense ("ALAE") and, specifically, costs of defending an insured;
 - c. Any expenses that should properly be charged to another receivership estate;
 - d. Any expenses relating to Guaranty Association funding, e.g., borrowing of funds, researching funding options, establishment of lines of cradit, public bond finance, and investment issues; and
 - e. Any expenses related to regulatory proceedings and lawsuits regarding assessment methodology or calculation.
 - f. If any other potential issue carve-outs are identified in the future, they will be analyzed on an individual basis and discussed with representatives of the Participating Guaranty Associations. The final determination made by Reliance will be communicated to the Participating Guaranty Associations.

Except for expenses described in 5b, NODs will be issued for Issue Carve-Outs with an appropriate allowed amount and Class (e) priority. Issue Carve-Outs will not be included in Revised Legal Expenses subject to the Percentage Reduction. Guaranty Associations will have an opportunity to object to the priority and/or the allowed amount of NODs issued for Issue Carve-Outs through the claims procedures established for the administration of Guaranty Association claims by the GA Claims Order. For expenses described in 5b, ALAE expenses, the Guaranty Associations may elect to reclassify and code these expenses to the applicable claim lile as a Class (b) expense. If necessary, Reliance will issue NODs or file reports with the Gourt regarding the ALAE expenses in accordance with the GA Claims Order.

- 6. LARGE DEDUCTIBLE EXPENSES. Large Deductible Expenses will be deducted from the Guaranty Associations' reported Logal Expenses and will not be part of the Revised Logal Expenses subject to the Percentage Reduction.
- Agreement, Reliance will conduct only limited scope on-site or desktop reviews of Guaranty
 Association Legal Expenses. These limited scope reviews will involve larger legal invoices and
 will focus on entries reflecting the Issue Carve-Outs and any large expense invoices that could
 constitute Money Carve-Outs. As a result, the time and expense associated with the Legal
 Expense Reviews should be significantly reduced since the Reviews will not require
 identification and quantification of Disputed Legal Expenses, unless related to Issue Carve-Outand Money Carve-Out concerns.
- 8. DYKEMA LEGAL EXPENSES. Reliance will reimburse the Participating
 (Juaranty Associations for 100% of their Dykema Legal Expenses up to a cap of \$300,000
 ("Allowed Dykema Legal Expenses"). Allowed Dykema Legal Expenses shall be pro rated

among the Participating Guaranty Associations. Dykema Legal Expenses will not be part of the Revised Legal Expenses subject to the Percentage Reduction. A NOD will be issued with a Class (c) and \$-0- allowed amount for any Dykema Legal Expenses in excess of the Allowed Dykema Legal Expenses. The Participating Guaranty Associations agree not to object to this priority and/or allowed amount.

- 9. COORDINATING COMMITTEE LEGAL EXPENSES. Coordinating

 Committee Legal Expenses will not be part of the Revised Legal Expenses subject to the

 Percentage Reduction.
- 10. OPT-IN/OPT-OUT PROCEDURES. A Non-Participating Guaranty

 Association may Opt-In to the Legal Exponse Settlement set forth in this Agreement by notifying the Chief Liquidation Officer at Reliance and obtaining and signing a copy of this Agreement.

A Participating Guaranty Association may opt-out of the Legal Expense Settlement set forth in this Agreement by notifying the Chief Liquidation Officer at Reliance that it desires to opt-out and is not seeking further reimbursement of its Legal Expenses or will seek reimbursement subject to Reliance's full review procedures and allowance guidelines.

NO ADMISSION. Neither this Agreement nor its terms shall constitute admissions, concessions or acknowledgments by the Parties of liability, wrongdoing, fault or responsibility, or lack thereof, or the validity or invalidity of any legal position or contention, the meaning or intent of any statute or regulation by the Parties, for any purpose whatsoever, except in any action or proceeding to enforce or effectuate the terms of this Agreement. No Party to this Agreement shall, for any purpose whatsoever, rely on, refer to, or offer as evidence this Agreement, or any of its terms or provisions, or any of the negotiations or proceedings giving rise to this Agreement, in any judicial, non-judicial, arbitration or other proceeding, except in an

action or proceeding to enforce or effectuate the terms of this Agreement. Under no circumstances shall this Agreement have any affect on pending litigation involving any of the Guaranty Associations, either as a party, intervenor, or as a direct beneficiary, whether asserted by a Party or non-party to this Agreement. The Guaranty Associations' causes of action, defenses, rights, or the like against any non-party to this Agreement shall under no circumstances be affected in any manner.

The Parties acknowledge that this Agreement resulted from the unique circumstances presented in the Reliance estate and, as such, this Agreement is not a precedent, guideline, admission, or benchmark for any other liquidation estate in Pennsylvania or in any other state. By resolving this dispute, the Parties reserve their rights to contest the Legal Expense or similar issues in any other estate. The Parties also acknowledge that neither the Insurance Commissioner nor the Insurance Department of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are parties to this Agreement in a general capacity; except that the Insurance Commissioner has entered into this Agreement solely in his capacity as the Liquidator of Reliance. This Agreement is not binding on the Liquidator in any other estate or the Commissioner in his other capacities, nor shall this Agreement be deemed an admission or interpretation of 40 P.S. §221.44 by the Commissioner or the Pennsylvania Insurance Department or the Guaranty Associations.

To the extent there are Guaranty Associations which opt not to participate in this

Agreement and there ensues any litigation regarding the reimbursement of Logal Expenses, the

Participating Guaranty Associations agree that they will not intervene nor participate in any such

proceedings, except to the extent compelled to do so by legal process or Court order.

12. <u>INTEGRATION</u>. This Agreement sets forth the entire agreement between the Parties with respect to the issues involved in this dispute and may be amended only by written

instrument signed by the Party or Parties to be charged with the amendment. Neither the Parties. nor their agents have made promises or oral or written statements other than those that appear within this Agreement with respect to the resolution of this dispute.

- CHOICE OF LAW AND VENUE. This Agreement shall be deemed to have 13. been entered into and shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as applied to contracts made and to be performed entirely within that Commonwealth. The Parties agree that any lawsuit necessary for the enforcement of this Agreement shall not be construed as limiting the ability of the Guaranty Associations to assort rights pursuant to the Guaranty Associations' state statutes except insofar as the assertion of such a right would conflict with the terms of this Agreement.
- ADVICE OF COUNSEL. The Parties to this Agreement acknowledge that each 14. has had access to the advice of independent counsel, has carefully and completely read this Agreement, understands fully the provisions of this Agreement, and signs this Agreement voluntarily and without duress or lack of authority or capacity.
- COUNTERPARTS. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in 15. counterparts, and delivered by facsimiles or electronic mail, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instruments.
- REPRESENTATIVES. The individuals who sign this Agreement represent that 16. they have been duly authorized to execute on behalf of the Parties that they purport to represent.
- INFORMED CONSENT. The Parties acknowledge that they (or their 17. designated representatives(s)) have read this Agreement, that they fully understand and appreciate the meaning of this Agreement, that it fully reflects the entirety of the agreement between the Parties, that no representation, inducement, or warranty has been made to any Party

by any other Party hereto except as set forth heroin, and that they knowingly and voluntarily enter into this Agreement and agree to comply with its terms and conditions.

Agreement was drafted and negotiated by both parties, that the parties dealt at arms' length and that there should be no presumption in favor of either Party, but rather the document shall be given a neutral construction.

[END OF DOCUMENT - SIGNATURE PAGES TO FOLLOW]

Dated: May

RBIJANCE INSURANCE COMPANY (IN LIQUIDATION)

David S. Briefling Chiof Liquidation Officer

EXHIBIT A RELIANCE LEGAL EXPENSE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

PARTICIPATING GUARANTY ASSOCIATIONS

T. I. T. S. W. S. P. S.
Alabama Insurance Guaranty Association
Alaska Insurance Guaranty Association
Arizona Property and Casualty Insurance
Guaranty Fund
Arkansas Property & Casualty Guaranty Fund
Colorado Insurance Guaranty Association
Connecticut Insurance Guaranty Association
Delaware Insurance Guaranty Association
District of Columbia Insurance Guaranty
Association
Florida Insurance Guaranty Association
Florida Workers' Compensation Insurance
Guaranty Association
Georgia Insurers Insolvency Pool
Hawaii Insurance Guaranty Association
Idaho Insurance Guaranty Association
Illinois Insurance Guaranty Fund
Indiana Insurance Guaranty Association
Iowa Insurance Guaranty Association
Kansas Insurance Guaranty Association
Kentucky Insurance Guaranty Association
Louisiana Insurance Guaranty Association
Maine Insurance Guaranty Association
Maryland Property & Casualty Insurance
Guaranty
Massachusetts Insurers Insolvency Fund
Michigan Property and Casualty Guaranty
Association
Minnesota Insurance Guaranty Association
Mississippi Insurance Guaranty Association
Missouri Property and Casualty Insurance
Guaranty Association
Montana Insurance Guaranty Association
Nebraska Property and Liability Insurance
Guaranty Association
Nevada Insurance Guaranty Association
New Hampshire Guaranty Association
New Jersey Property-Liability Insurance Guaranty
Association

As of May 27, 2008

DETOINS81811.1 1888

9	
₹	
Ş	
ğ	
ğ	
Ŷ.	

State of Longial Addition Tracked size and analysis Response to 2002 Moles of Lay With Previous Longial Exponses 2002 Across 10 Moles of Lay Across 10 Mole			Sum of Tones	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	- Annual Comment	Cont. Con	Bossible	Rowleg	Reviewd South	Ponting		Crantify	
Validation Val		Som of Legal			UD Expenses	8 ALS END	Carre Outs			*		Reduction	
1465-0578 1465	olega 100Ka	d Expenses > \$25 nd Revised Legal	0,000 or GA's Wi to Revised Total	its Revised Legal Expenses > Admin > 10%			,	•				٠	
7.2720.29 7.66.719 3.09.74 (1972.02.03) 3.55.25 46.027 (1972.02.03) 7.72.65 7.	Ε	1,3564,067,54	6,525,810,53	VENNE Lid two 2 CM 2005	204,696		342,625	284.314	6,321,115	8	0.25		S 100% se
173.22.23 173.22.24 174.24.21 173.22.24 173.		2,346,220,49	7,559,717,13	38.93% to the 2 Ou 2005	256,925			1,099,048	7.312.752	15.03	0.25	274,762	
187,200.00 187,200.00 187,200		373,230,97	7/15/457.19		9.50			363,635	735,654	40.43	į,		
17.50.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.		1,187,255,95	5,555,487,66	20.25% hd was 2 On 2005	163.142		42,201	915, 98	5,492,345	5874	Ş		ENGLARE
12.55 12.5		441,526,44	3,143,442.69	14,24% Id has 2 Ok 2006	•		980'88	356,640	3,143,443	15.43	2		wes
155,552 151,2738.44 155,554	Ø	213,980,03	745,470,00	28.95%. No thru 2 Clar 2006	216.45 24.50TC			189,366	715,888	26.66	52		POZIS
Automation 1,15,2,2,8,5,4 Automation 1,15,2,8,4 Automation 1,15,2,8,4 Automation 1,15,2,8,4 Automation 1,15,2,8,4 Automation 1,15,2,8,4 Automation 1,15,2,8 Aut		186,645,25	1,615,416.26	11.55% lid thru 2 Cpr 2006	3			165,645	1,515,416	11.55	9.25	45,061	
	1	280,802.00	1,136,487.00	22.95% td thru 2 CAr 2006	4B.OG			212,759	1.088,444	19.55	0.25	53,180	
Freeding above Control		6,079,735.67	27,132,288.44		A STATE	,	472,912	3,676,936	26,425,074	Ħ	St. Volat	919,234	
	meert 1	squirements for 2	25% reduction										
10,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,0	Soch	eating above)											
Colored Colo		* DE & 24 PP	2 664 440 03	Special Company of Section				247	60000000	, 33	ė	6	
CATASTAN		26.504.63	100 CO 100	CAUSE OF THE PROPERTY	44,074				200,000	996	8 8	2007	
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		00 P32 CV	17.17.00 P	TOOK IN THE ME ACCOUNT	20000			10000	2007 274	7 60	3 6	4 6 6	
13,206.23 13,624.61.77 13,75% for five 2 or 20.20 13,525.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.61.77 13,527.73 13,524.73 13,52		57 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	ROCOC'C Z		78.4	,		77977	1,634,436	COT	2 4	9 6	
1,2,2,10,8,12, 1,2,2,4,3,4,4,4,2,1,1,1,2,2,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4		anner a	CONC./97999	TOWN ME DIG S CD SHIP	のでは、はは、は、は、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、ないのでは、	1*	To be the second second second	\$ C. 4	17 TAC	5	800	700	•
55.772.85 5.686.582.18 2.789, inchina 2 Gr. 20.20 5 5.789 5 5.895 5 5.789 5 5.895 5 5.789 5 5.895 5 5.789 5 5.895 5 5.		133,366,23	4,163,461,77	3.20% No thru 2 Qu' 2006	8755 8755	_	28,000	800 E	4,954,442	2.39	S :	7	M 50%
96, 202-266 58, 204-26-26 18, 17, 202 30, 05, 47 32, 346, 347 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18,		96,125,26	3,456,632,18	2.78% hatha 2 Or 2005	88,139			27,970	3,391,477	0.82	900	2,738	
9.0.622.81 9.00.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.		63,278.93	348,345.96	18,1155 hd thru 2 Cir 2006	30,974	_4		32,305	318,372	10.15	0.08	2514	
6.1022-08 8862388.8		90,632.66	3,588,855,78	1.70% for thre 2 Ox 2006	37,872	•		23,155	3,550,984	0.65	0.08	1,852	
13.296.3.2 17.716.94.4 18.275. 10.02.0.2005 25.69 13.12 17.092.20 14.4 0.03 1.729 19.396.2.2 14.4 0.03 1.729 19.396.2.2 14.4 0.03 1.729 17.092.20 14.4 0.03 1.729 17.092.20 14.4 0.03 1.729 17.092 17.0		54,299,19	358,689,85	14,73% No thru 2 Ogr 2006	17.76			36,556	350.947	10.42	85.0	2,924	
6 1572.49 882.39 8.6 45.27 ki luk huz 2 Cur 2066 22.349 17.024 26.159 886.473 2.59 0.00 1.79 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70	Ð	103,926,32	1 177.894.41	8 82% Ind thru 2 CM 2005	200.00			15322	1 089 290	141	0.03	1.226	
48.35-2.12 SSR(780.25)	GFMS-New Harmstripe	61 027 48	892 398 98	5.42% Intiber 2 Ox 2005.	25.986			22.369	865 413		000	25Z	
1,000,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,1		48.354.72	550 780 26	8.62% Ind then 2 Our 2006	25.01			20.24	541680		900	2,339	
106.105.70 1781/28246 5399% ind foun 2 Our 2006 51,348 54,748 52,748 522,458 524,483 524,483 544,441,725 54,441,7		40 30k 20	303.407.74	13.31% IN Part 2 Cir 2.306				17.865	280.858		0.08	1.428	
1,24,22.22		105,105,70	1781929.46	5.95% Ind form 2 Oir 2006			•	46.672	1,720,325		900	3,558	
65/12/2.92 1,010,103.17 6.539% in the the 2 on 2016 33.839 92,894 975,324 5.42 0.00 4.22 5,413.26 5,610,026.64 5,534 5,134 5,134 139,879 137,137 6.00 4.22 2,62.90.23 1,734,532.27 4,401,265.76 4,778 and the 2 Cur 2006 5,134 199,897 4,327.71 3.2 0.08 1,136 2,65.50.23 1,734,532.52 4,401,265.76 4,401,365.76 4,401,365.76 4,401,365.76 1,401 <td< td=""><td></td><td>74.693.38</td><td>548,407,52</td><td>13,72% To the 40,000 of 2006</td><td>4</td><td>-14</td><td></td><td>52.748</td><td>522.463</td><td>10.10</td><td>90.0</td><td>4,220</td><td></td></td<>		74.693.38	548,407,52	13,72% To the 40,000 of 2006	4	-1 4		52.748	522.463	10.10	90.0	4,220	
54,135,04 561,050,84 563,54 10 ftm 2 Cu 2006 51,344 12,440 12,440 13		86,722,92	1,010,163,17	8.59% ltd thru 2 Qrr 2005	1	ı, ***		52.884	975.324	5.42	900	4.234	
(5) 226.908.33 (1794,322.32 (2639) Bu fund 2 Chr 2006 (26252) (2639) Bu fund 2 Chr 2006 (26252) (2639) Bu fund 2 Chr 2006 (26252) (263223 (263223) (2632233) (263223) (263223) (2632233) (2632233) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263223) (263		54.253.04	SKIOCERG	SCAS III Bras 2 Car 2005				2 1985	909 679		008	200	
206.5X2.37 4,401,365.76 4,74% and line 2 Cur 2006 86.555 319,397 4,327.721 3.23 0.08 11,180 96.7756.489 1,324,523.44 18,695 and fron 2 Cur 2006 52,580 1,5733 1,59,587 4,377 1,000 1,580 1,000 1,580 1,000 1,580 1,000 1,1180 1,000	6	226.908.93	1 794 332 92		100 Con 100 Co	ı îka		\$53,852	1,721,376		90.0	12.318	
247.364.08 1.324,523.24 48.66% inf fenu 2 Chr 2006 26.572 3100,000 97.783 1.286,502 7.67 0.08 7.833 95.315.39 2.004,182.8 4.977 in the boar 2 on 2006 62.0502 3.623 1.624,006 1.07 2.900 22,411.76 962.545.72 2.33% in the boar 2 on 2006 62.0602 3.647 62.548 0.08 1.577 22,411.76 962.545.72 2.33% in the boar 2 on 2006 62.0602 1.5714 955,818 0.08 1.577 183.0.025.5 1.366,706.77 2.33% in the boar 2 on 2006 53.074 1.578 6.578 0.08 1.777 183.0.025.5 1.366,706.77 3.64% in the boar 2 on 2006 53.074 4.758 0.08 1.777 183.0.025.6 1.366,706.77 3.64% in the boar 2 on 2006 52.204 5.546.49 6.546.49 0.08 1.777 183.0.025.0 1.276.2006 5.277 1.288.47 6.546.49 0.08 1.777 183.0.026.0 1.276.2006 5.277 1.289.40 1.289.40	`	206.532.37	4.401.325.76		ŧ .	Yeo		139 897	4322 721		000	11.192	
96.315.35 2.004,128.38 4.91% lit bruz Cuz 2006 62.052 3.6.233 1.942,086 1.87 0.00 2.990 75,468.28 1.622,099.38 4.71% lit bruz Cuz 20x 20x6 62.256 62.247 62.654 1.67 0.06 1.257 22,417.76 962,545.72 2.33% lit bruz Cuz 20x 20x6 5.537 6.556.49 4.53 0.06 7.747 962,545.72 2.33% lit bruz Cuz 20x 5.537 4.72% lit bruz 20x 20x6 4.527 96.459 4.53 0.06 7.747 962,747.7 3.65% 40x 4.72% lit bruz 20x 20x6 5.307 4.57 96.459 4.31 0.06 7.747 962,747.7 3.65% 40x 4.72% lit bruz 20x 20x6 5.307 5.307 1.528 4.33 0.06 7.747 962,747.3 3.65% 40x 4.72% lit bruz 20x 20x6 5.307 4.473 4.34 0.06 1.257 4.775.3 4.43% 10x0 1.25% 40x0 5.307 5.307 4.473 4.32 0.08 1.32 4.62.8 4.43% 10x0	•	247.364.69	1 324 523 84	18 68% nd fina 2 On 2405			CONTROL ST		1286957	7.61	800	7.823	Scholegia
76, 468, 28 1,522,098, 38 4,77% lid four 2 Cut 2006 50,380 1,5714 958, 878 1,68 1,087 1,087 22, 41, 76 962, 567.72 2,33% lid from 2 Cut 2006 5,38% lid from 2 Cut 2006 5,38% lid from 2 Cut 2006 1,577 95,487 6,60 1,67 96, 425, 52 1,544, 705, 77 3,61% lid from 2 Cut 2006 5,3107 1,527 1,528 1,60 1,03 181, Q82, 52 1,346, 705, 77 3,61% lid from 2 Cut 2006 5,3107 1,528 1,73 1,736, 73 1,736, 73 1,00 1,222 66, 725, 22 1,346, 706, 77 3,61% lid from 2 Cut 2006 5,348 4,758 1,00 7,00 1,00 5,775, 30 1,418, 78, 706, 77 3,61% lid from 2 Cut 2006 5,348 4,73 1,00 <t< td=""><td></td><td>9631539</td><td>2 (004 126 38</td><td>493% life fixed 2-On 2006</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1942,086</td><td></td><td>108</td><td>2,900</td><td>•</td></t<>		9631539	2 (004 126 38	493% life fixed 2-On 2006					1942,086		108	2,900	•
22,411.76 982,545.72 2.33% list brun 2 Cur 2006 5.599.96 15.714 955,588 1.64 0.08 1.257 15.24.176 955,588 1.64 0.08 1.257 15.24.176 955,588 1.64 0.08 1.257 15.24.177 15.24 16.40 1.24.22 15.24.25 15.24.	•	75 468 28	2 EZZ DER 38	4 77% Int Iher of Chrotics		: :		13 208			89	1.057	
96,195.22 626,593.26 15.39% in thru 2 Oz 2005 15.277 557,173 556,459 4.53 0.08 7.777 150,102.25 1,946,703.25 1,546,103 4.72% in thru 2 Oz 2005 55,174 15,581 3.546,199 4.53 0.08 7.775 151,002.25 1,946,703.77 3,617,403 7,775 173,587 173,587 1,784,533 0.76 0.08 1,092 5.775.39 1,946,703 1,947,604 7,775,39 1,248,403 1,473,317 4,03 1,423,317 1,092 1,002		22 411.76	98754577	2.33% lid thu 2.00 2006		110		15714			8	1757	
FSBL 0025.51 3.561,460.35 4.72% id thru 2 Chr 2006 15.277 152,812 3.564,159 4.37 0.08 1.082 FSBL 0025.51 1.546,706.77 3.61% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.51074 1.3551 1.793,632 0.76 0.108 1.092 FSSL 222 1.546,706.77 3.61% id thru 2 Chr 2006 6.248 4.779 1.285,417 4.08 0.08 1.082 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 4.035 1.385,447 4.08 0.08 1.035 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 1.294,62 1.385,447 2.34 0.08 1.035 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 1.294,62 1.385,447 2.34 0.08 1.375 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 1.294,62 1.385,447 2.34 0.08 1.375 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 1.294,62 1.385,447 2.34 0.08 1.375 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 1.294,62 1.385,447 0.09 0.09 0.09 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.3271 1.294,62 1.385,447 0.09 0.09 0.09 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.328 0.06 0.09 0.09 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.328 0.06 0.09 0.09 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.328 0.08 0.09 0.09 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 5.328 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.09 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 1.5377 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.00 0.00 FSSL 322 3.64% id thru 2 Chr 2006 1.5377 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.00 0.00 FSSL 322 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 FSSL 322 0.00 0		SS 455 SP	876 509 30	15.39% Int the 10.00 2008	į.	Ŕ		(Sp. 85)		15.30	80	22.2	
65.725.22 1.346,708.77 3.61% lid thru 2 Cur 2005 55.1074 (17.723) 1.783,653 0.7% 0.08 1.092 1.55.89 0.00% lid thru 2 Cur 2005 6.248 (17.723) 1.783,653 0.7% 0.08 1.092 1.55.89 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%		SECTION ST	20, UR # 1035 E	A YOU IN SHIP OF SAME	-			C12 C21			2	12.275	
5.775.39 61.564.81 3.39% 145 fp. 20kg		68 725 23	1 805 705 77	3 61% let day 2 Or 2005		. 12		13851			8	1 092	
5.775.39 61.564.81 9.39% lid thou 2 Qic 2006 6.248 40709 1,432.307 -0.085 0.08 2.357 55.893.40 1.447.494.05 3.80% lid thou 2 Qic 2006 5.248 40709 1,432.317 -0.08 0.08 3.257 162.237.38 1.447.494.05 3.80% lid thou 2 Qic 2006 5.577 1.285.447 2.37 0.08 1.702 25.771.00 1.245.78 lid thou 2 Qic 2006 5.4081 37.477 1.293.482 1.64 0.08 1.702 85.548.02 1.456.60 1.4081 2.400 2.400 2.400 2.400 2.400 2.400 0.08 1.702 85.548.02 1.406.10 2.400 1.407.40 1.407.40 0.09 0.08 1.702 85.548.02 1.406.10 2.400 1.407.40 1.407.40 0.09 0.08 1.702 24.70.15 2.406.70 2.400 2.400 2.400 2.400 0.09 0.08 1.976 22.201.05 2.808.10 2.400 2.400 <td< td=""><td></td><td> "</td><td>CTS POR OR</td><td>A ANTE ARTHUR SOLD SOLD</td><td></td><td>ď</td><td></td><td>7 720</td><td></td><td></td><td>=</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		"	CTS POR OR	A ANTE ARTHUR SOLD SOLD		ď		7 720			=		
55.883.40 1.467.494.05 3.89% us thru 2 One 2006 13,184 40,709 1,422.30 2.84 0.08 3.257 162.327.38 1.435.718.00 1.267.8 hd 0hm 2 One 2006 53,271 1.295.447 3.37 3.87 1.385.447 3.37 0.08 1.025 85.548.02 1.265.78 hd 0hm 2 One 2006 54,081 5,100 21,277 1.285.447 3.37 0.08 1.702 85.548.02 1.265.78 hd thm 2 One 2006 54,081 57,177 1.285.447 0.09 0.08 1.702 20.720.05 2.201.05 290.382.15 7.53% hd thm 2 One 2006 15,185 0.08 2.57 20.201.05 290.382.15 7.53% hd thm 2 One 2006 15,185 0.08 2.56 0.08 1.37 22.201.05 290.382.15 8.83% hd thm 2 One 2006 15,185 0.08 1.37 2.5698 0.08 2.50 22.201.05 290.382.10 8.83% hd thm 2 One 2006 15,394 2.24,304 3.56 0.08 3.57 46.083.00 377,394 <t< td=""><td></td><td>577539</td><td>61 556 81</td><td>A SPECIAL CHARGE IN SPICE OF</td><td>•</td><td>· oc</td><td></td><td>(673)</td><td></td><td>,</td><td>0.08</td><td>(38)</td><td></td></t<>		577539	61 556 81	A SPECIAL CHARGE IN SPICE OF	•	· oc		(673)		,	0.08	(38)	
462.237.38 1,438,718,00 7267% Md fmu 2 Qui 200e 55271 1781,057 1,285,447 9.32 0.08 10,325 23,277,00 1,295,482.00 1,899,846.00		55 R92 dh	1 ac7 aba DS	SSCO ACCOUNT OF SERVICE		. 12		COLUMN TO		_	8	136	
23.777.00 1,235,462.00 1,295,46		182 327 08	1 478 759 06	ACTION OF THE PARTY OF				100			200	10.30%	
85.548.62		00 177 50	205 400 000	OCEC ACC CARREST MA SOUR P		ء -		100	•	_	200		
207.209.36 12.45.733.1 1.50% in the		85 5 AG R3	2 2 PC CAS CAS	STOCK	,			25,050			200	1000	
22.02.03.02.03.02.03.02.03.02.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.03.		SO DOWNERS	22 245 429 45	PACK AND THE PLANT OF THE PACK	-	<u> </u>		- W - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V	٠		900	900	
102,500,005		30 300 00	2000 000		-		,		2				
21.1550.00		22,201,03	C3 7907057	CASS AND LIMES OF LAND		ar (•	10.7			3 6	2000	
21,135,00 2,84,54,10 8,83%, in thin 2 GV 2006 18,333 2,823 24,234 24,234 1,235 1,00 1,396		107,634,00	1, 457, 165.UU	4.67% its central car and	_	st :		40.056			\$ f	200	
58,093.09; 707,444.00; 8.21% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 33,083 2.42.06 6.73,594 4.18 0.06 1983 32,192.00 317,799.00 10,13% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 19.90; 12.221 29,087 214,138 2,433,445 2.29 2.00 13.46% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 24,138 2,433,445 2.29 2.00 13.46% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 24,538 2,433,445 2.29 2.00 19.58% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 25.530.00 19.58% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 25.530.00 19.58% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 25.500.00 19.58% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 25.500.00 19.58% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.577 25.500.00 19.58% in tenu 2 Cur 2006 15.57% in tenu 2 Cur		21,155,00	Z81667 UC	- 5-		- AD 0878 ACT (188	:	7.86	1	3	į,	Ä	í
32,192.60 317,799,00 10,13% ist (may 2 Qu 20)6 19,901 19,501 129,281 29,789 4.13 0.08 983 32,532.00 2,499,022.00 13,45% ist may 2 Qu 20,507 214,438 2,433,145 2.89 7.131 25,539,00 12,538,145 13,538,1		26,089,08	DOMA JOY	2.4	e A			34.206			Š		
325.522.00 2.449,022.00 13.46% (18.855.0) 15.977 99,587 214,138 2.433,145 2.827 0.06 17.31 2.5.339.00 126.823.00 19.38% (18.85) (18.87) 13.887 8.57 0.06 7.73 1.626.800.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 17.00 10.00 17.		32,192,80	317,799,00	• ;		<u>.</u>		12.291		•		983	
125,823,00 19,5875,110 Ani 2 Cor 2506 15,877 94,482 110,952 8,55 0.06		325,582,00	2449,022.00		,		180 B	214,138		1		\$7,431	Plum Creek
CA 101 255 CA1 101 CA1		25.359.00	125,829.00					9.482			_	739	
		10.000	0 1100	ı					ľ				

internal Austa Department

3	
8	
è	
8	
8	

Ovanthy Ss Restution	0 Total	1,065,267
Parized %	167 OF 194	
Revised Sust. Revised of Admin %	(5.775) 907,610 907,759	94.905,164
Revised Legat	(8.372) (8.521) (8.521)	\$.486.103
Possēte Carve Outs	ŧ	707.479
Court, Com & & AMS Exp C	,	1,222,674
LO Expenses	1,872	Post State of the Control of the Con
Reported Thm	4703 1.5134 lid thru 2 Qic 200B	
Legal % ગ Total Admin	ĝ	
Sum of Total Admin h LD expenses	925,483,57 925,483,57	9,654,697,122 97,1441,127,622 2,282,654,016
Sum of Total Sum of Legal Admir. GA with no reported legal expenses or with LD expenses a than reported legal expenses	(4) (5) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	9,654,697,02
GA Sum Sum GA GA with no reported legal experses then reported legal expenses	Arkansas Urah sub total	Total adj for filA

99,423,781,58

note – as of 9877196 (GFASS legal in Acc, FA IVC, PR & SD on legal reponent, NE no changes) (1) – Laga Grandthe opposites nilket actival including teues en ipobjets expensec, Auss good at, 190%

(2)—Crave an restoc to vertice with the contrast of ALAE that will be reported from order expenses that it 2007 (3)—Legal out Admits expanses for nauve only. (4)—Cravelpar to stabiling desport and it not outlet to Ald recoluments protest, not applicable to seafurment (5)—Crave logal exportes or parperly reported by GA, calculations need to be applicated outlets of union despondingly.

530/2008 10:59 AM

Intermal Audit Department

RECEIVED TIMEIAN 13 12.U35M PRINT TIMBIAN 15 10.23AM

EXHIBIT C RELIANCE LEGAL EXPENSE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

DISPUTED LEGAL EXPENSES

- 1. GA Jurisdiction. Legal expenses related to resolution of a jurisdictional issue between two or more guaranty associations.
- 2. General. Legal expenses in connection with issues relating to trade organizations and their activities, for example, PCI and Reinsurance Association of America; research and activities relating to assessments, preparation of white papers.
- 3. Impaired Company. Legal expenses related to an impaired company prior to the date that the GA's responsibilities are triggered by statute.
- 4. Legislative Activities. Legal expenses associated with various legislative activities or issues, including drafting amendments to guaranty association statutes, preparing or reviewing arguments for legislative changes to the GA statute, providing "technical" expertise, responding to questions regarding proposed GA legislation, and lobbying.
- 5. NCIGF. Legal expenses associated with attendance by outside counsel at NCIGF annual meetings, workshops, and legal seminars. Legal expenses in connection with NAIC and IAIR legal issues. Legal expenses associated with NCIGF-related projects including, for example, updating the NCIGF Model Act, analysis of GA funding alternatives, planning for or speaking at the NCIGF Legal Seminar, comparing specific GA statutes to the NCIGF Model Act, and various liquidation issues. Disputed NCIGF legal expenses do not include NCIGF dues.
- 6. Statutory Determination. Legal expenses in connection with the application of a GA statutory defense or provision to a specific claim or group of claims to determine whether the claim is a covered claim under the GA Act (e.g., issues involving residency, multiple caps, net worth, bar date, etc) and legal expenses in connection with net worth recoveries under GA net worth recoupment statutes. Disputed expenses do not include application of the other insurance provision in the GA Acts, which Reliance will treat as a Class (a) administrative expense.

DETO1\\$57726.1 1D\\$\$

Reliance Insurance Company (in Liquidation)
Report Of Undisputed GA Administrative Expenses Submitted Through December 31, 2007

\$ 72,202,136.70	585,041,10	S	\$ 72,787,157.80 S	\$ 3,415,101.31	\$ 76,202,259.11	Total
1,306,463.31	18,179.26		1,324,642.57	59,453.44	1,384,096.00	Wisconsin Insurance Security Funds
14,634,753.06	129,211.57		14,763,964.63	5,927.05	14,769,891,68	Texas Property & Casually Insurance Quaranty Association
3,814,574.43	26,301.55		3,840,875.98	32,131,27	3,873,007.25	Temessee Insurance Quaranty Association
14,151,471,69	21,351.87		11,172,823.56	1,692,290,44	12,865,114.00	Pennsylvania Workers Compensation Security Fund
1,711,006.27	2,000.00		1,713,006.27	79,107.73	1,792,114,00	Pennsylvania Property & Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association
3,630,730.57	1		3,630,730.57	221,289,39	3,852,019.95	North Carolina Insurance Guaranty Association
628,061,71	t		628,061.71	7,931.51	635,993,22	New Jersey Surplus Lines Guaranty Fund
3,750,245.01	9,994.75		3,760,239.76	51,267.56	3,811,507,32	New Jersey Property and Liability Insurance Guaranty Association
1,620,940.38	17,916,03		1,638,856.41	64,972.45	1,703,828.86	New Jersey Compensation Rating and Inspection Bureau
363,322.22	7,793.16		371,115.38	4,062,62	375,178.00	Montana Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association
1,693,155.74	45,033.30		1,738,189.04	19,812,42	1,758,001.46	Missouri Property & Casually insurance Guaranty Association
2,027,796-75	44,911.53		2,072,708.28	41,583.83	2,114,292,11	Mississippi Insurance Guaranty Association
1,327,278.43	21,620.50		1,248,898.93	163,164.01	1,512,062.94	Misroesofa Insurance Guaranty Association
1,081,314.70	34,926.08		1,116,240,78	195,876.08	1,312,116.86	Kentucky insurance Guaranty Association
833,253.54	11,234.66		844,488.20	11,798,80	856,287,00	Kansas Properly & Casually Insurance Guaranty Association
678,172,10	6,318,22		684,490.32	183,524.17	868,014,49	lowa Insurance Guaranty Association
239,951.72	6,734.11		246,585,83	1,775.17	248,461.00	Idaho Insurance Guaranty Association
560,954,28	14,482.24		575,436.52	12,020,10	587,456,62	Hawan insurance Oberanty Association
3,997,989.24	44,221.94		4,042,211,18	28,045,31	4 070,256 49	Georgia Insurers Insolvency Pool
6,620,432.55	36,974,37		6,657,406.92	490,453.27	7 147,860 18	Florida Workers Compensation Insurance Gueranty Association
4,200,127.75	6,001.43		4,206,129.18	10,905.42	4,217,034,60	Florida Insurance Guaranty Association
1,314,010.12	19,838.35		1 333 848 47	20,581.53	1,354,430.00	Colorado Insurance Guaranty Association
663,964,88	15,117,20		679,082,08	8,730.06	687,812.14	Alaska Insurance Guarany Association
8 4,352,146.26	44,878.98	(V)	\$ 4,397,025.24	\$ 8,397.70	5 4,405,422.94	Alabama insurance Guaranty Association
Approval)	Count *		Expenses	Expenses	Expenses	Legal Entity Name
(Pending Court	Approved by the	₽	Administrative	Administrative	Administrative	
Expenses	Previously		Undisputed	Excluded	Total Submitted	
Administrative	Expenses		Inception to Date			
Total Undisputed						

^{*}Legal expenses paid to GA pursuant to the Deductible Settlement. If GA billed Reliance for less than the amount allocated to the GA under the Settlement, the lesser amount is

EXHIBIT B