RECEIVED ARTMENT OF MAR 29 AM 10: 31

BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER CARROLS OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:

VIOLATIONS:

RICHARD A. SHADE

Sections 611-A(20), 647-A and

8530 Oliver Road

696-A of Act 147 of 2002

Erie, PA 16509

(40 P.S. §§ 310.11, 310.47 and

310.96)

Respondent.

Docket No. CO04-02-009

CONSENT ORDER

AND NOW, this 29% day of March, 2004, this Order is hereby issued by the Deputy Insurance Commissioner of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the statutes cited above and in disposition of the matter captioned above.

1. Respondent hereby admits and acknowledges that he has received proper notice of his rights to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, 2 Pa.C.S. § 101, et seq., or other applicable law.

2. Respondent hereby waives all rights to a formal administrative hearing in this matter, and agrees that this Consent Order, and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, shall have the full force and effect of an Order duly entered in accordance with the adjudicatory procedures set forth in the Administrative Agency Law, <u>supra</u>, or other applicable law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 3. The Deputy Insurance Commissioner finds true and correct each of the following Findings of Fact:
 - (a) Respondent is Richard A. Shade and maintains his address at 8530 Oliver Road, Erie, Pennsylvania 16509.
 - (b) Respondent is currently licensed with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as a resident producer.
 - (c) On or about October 4, 2002, Respondent accepted an automobile insurance premium payment of \$225.00 from his client, Richard Monley, to be applied to Brethren Mutual Insurance Company for automobile coverage.
 - (d) Respondent did not remit the above-referenced \$225.00 premium payment to the insurer until September 9, 2003.

- (e) On or about February 27, 2003, Respondent issued and mailed an automobile insurance binder #SAP240742 to the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, in order to show that his client Kathleen Cessna had continuous coverage from March 26, 2002 to June 1, 2002.
- (f) Kathleen Cessna's above-referenced policy had been cancelled by the insurer effective March 26, 2002, for nonpayment of premium.
- (g) Respondent acknowledged the above transactions, and both clients have had their policies reinstated or secured insurance through a new agency.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 4. In accord with the above Findings of Fact and applicable provisions of law, the Deputy Insurance Commissioner concludes and finds the following Conclusions of Law:
 - (a) Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Insurance
 Department.
 - (b) Section 611-A(20) of Act 147 of 2002 prohibits a licensee from demonstrating a lack of general fitness, competence or reliability sufficient to satisfy the department that the licensee is worthy of licensure (40 P.S. § 310.11).

- (c) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(c) through 3(g) violates Section 611-A(20) of Act 147 of 2002.
- (d) Section 647-A of Act 147 of 2002 (40 P.S. § 310.47) prohibits producers from misrepresenting the terms of policies and future dividends.
- (e) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(c) through 3(g) constitute misrepresenting the terms of policies and future dividends and violate Section 647-A of Act 147 of 2002 (40 P.S. § 310.47).
- (f) Section 696-A of Act 147 of 2002 requires every insurance producer to be responsible in a fiduciary capacity for all funds received or collected as an insurance agent or broker (40 P.S. § 310.96).
- (g) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(c) through 3(g) constitute a violation of acting in a responsible fiduciary capacity when handling funds received or collected as a producer and violates Section 696-A of Act 147 of 2002 (40 P.S. § 310.96).
- (h) Respondent's violations of Sections 611-A(20), 647-A and 696-A of Act 147 of 2002 are punishable by the following, under Section 691-A of Act 147 of 2002 (40 P.S. § 310.91):

- suspension, revocation or refusal to issue the certificate of qualification or license;
- (ii) imposition of a civil penalty not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation of the Act;
- (iii) an order to cease and desist; and
- (iv) any other conditions as the Commissioner deems appropriate.

ORDER

- 5. In accord with the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Deputy Insurance Commissioner orders and Respondent consents to the following:
 - (a) Respondent shall cease and desist from engaging in the activities described herein in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
 - (b) Respondent shall pay a civil penalty of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Payment of this penalty shall be made by certified check or money order, payable to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Payment should be directed to Sharon L. Harbert, Administrative Assistant, Bureau of Enforcement, 1311 Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120. Payment may be enclosed with the Consent

Order, but must be paid in any event no later than ninety (90) days after the date of the Consent Order.

- (c) Respondent's certificates and licenses may be immediately suspended by the Department following its investigation and determination that (i) penalty payment has not been made, or (ii) any other terms of this Order have not been complied with, or (iii) any complaint against Respondent is accurate and a statute or regulation has been violated. The Department's right to act under this section is limited to a period of five (5) years from the date of this Order.
- (d) Respondent specifically waives his right to prior notice of said suspension, but will be entitled to a hearing upon written request received by the Department no later than thirty (30) days after the date the Department mailed to Respondent by certified mail, return receipt requested, notification of said suspension, which hearing shall be scheduled for a date within sixty (60) days of the Department's receipt of Respondent's written request.
- (e) At the hearing referred to in paragraph 5(d) of this Order, Respondent shall have the burden of demonstrating that he is worthy of a license.
- (f) In the event Respondent's certificates and licenses are suspended pursuant to paragraph 5(c) above, and Respondent either fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days or at the hearing fails to demonstrate that he is worthy of a license, Respondent's suspended certificates and licenses shall be revoked.

- 6. In the event the Deputy Insurance Commissioner finds that there has been a breach of any of the provisions of this Order, based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, the Department may pursue any and all legal remedies available, including but not limited to the following: The Department may enforce the provisions of this Order in an administrative action pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other relevant provision of law; or, if applicable, the Department may enforce the provisions of this Order in any other court of law or equity having jurisdiction.
- 7. Alternatively, in the event the Deputy Commissioner finds that there has been a breach of any of the provisions of this Order, the Deputy Commissioner may declare this Order to be null and void and, thereupon, reopen the entire matter for appropriate action pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, <u>supra</u>, or other relevant provision of law.
- 8. In any such enforcement proceeding, Respondent may contest whether a breach of the provisions of this Order has occurred but may not contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein.
- 9. Respondent hereby expressly waives any relevant statute of limitations and application of the doctrine of laches for purposes of any enforcement of this Order.

- 10. This Order constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the matters referred to herein, and it may not be amended or modified except by an amended order signed by all the parties hereto.
- 11. This Order shall be final upon execution by the Deputy Insurance
 Commissioner. Only the Insurance Commissioner or the duly authorized Deputy
 Insurance Commissioner is authorized to bind the Insurance Department with respect
 to the settlement of the alleged violation of law contained herein, and this Consent
 Order is not effective until executed by the Insurance Commissioner or the duly
 authorized Deputy Insurance Commissioner.

BY:

RICHARD A. SHADE Respondent

RANDOLPH L. ROHRBAUGH Deputy Insurance Commissioner Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: The Act of April 9, 1929, P.L. 177, No. 175, known as The Administrative Code of 1929

M. Diane Koken
Insurance Commissioner