

BID INFORMATION MEMORANDUM
Fixed Price Competitive Bid Solicitation
Remediation To Closure
Former McClure Street Service Station / Graham Service
1620 McClure Street
Homestead, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania 15120
PADEP Facility ID #02-03925; USTIF Claim #2008-0096(F)

USTIF understands and appreciates the effort necessary to prepare a well-conceived response to a bid solicitation. As a courtesy, the following summary information is being provided to the bidders who submitted bids in response to the solicitation listed above.

Number of firms attending pre-bid meeting: 8
Number of bids received: 2

List of firms submitting bids (alphabetical order): CORE Environmental Services, Inc.
Mountain Research, LLC

This was a bid to result scope of work (SOW) bid; therefore, the bidders technical approach was the most heavily weighted evaluation criterion. The range in base bid cost associated with the two bids received was \$53,600.55 to \$141,578.68. Based on the numerical scoring, one of the bids was determined to meet the “Reasonable and Necessary” criteria established by the Regulations and were deemed acceptable by the evaluation committee for USTIF funding. The claimant reviewed and selected the acceptable bid.

The selected bidder was CORE Environmental Services, Inc. - \$53,600.55.

The attached sheet lists some general comments regarding the evaluation of the two bids received for this solicitation. These comments are intended to provide general information that may assist in preparing bids in response to future solicitations.

GENERAL COMMENTS REGARDING EVALUATED BIDS

- Bids that did not include enough “original” (i.e., not copied verbatim from the RFB) language conveying bidder’s thought such that the understanding of site conditions, closure approach, and approach to addressing the scope of work could be evaluated were regarded less favorably. Since bidders are not prequalified, the content of the bid response must equip the evaluation committee and Claimant to make a thorough and complete review of the bid and bidder.
- Some bids did not adequately explain how the proposed soil boring locations would help accomplish a satisfactory understanding of the horizontal and vertical extent of unsaturated / smear zone impacts.
- Some bids failed to describe how soils beneath the existing dispenser island would be addressed if it has been determined that impacts in exceedence of SHS exist beneath the dispenser island.
- Some bids lacked sufficient clarity on the management, sampling, transport & disposing of impacted groundwater removed from excavation, application of ORC, soil vapor sampling, and soil attainment sampling.
- Some bids did not identify how point of compliance wells would be proposed and established on record with PADEP.
- Some bids were significantly higher in cost than others while pursuing the same objective.