

RECEIVED
INSURANCE DEPARTMENT
05 SEP 14 PM 1:38
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
OF THE
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:	:	VIOLATIONS:
	:	
YOGESH PATEL	:	Sections 611-A (7), (9), (17) and
145 Gwynmont Drive	:	(20) Act 147 of 2002
North Wales, PA 19454	:	(40 P.S. §§ 310.11)
	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	Docket No. CO05-07-031

CONSENT ORDER

AND NOW, this *14th* day of *September*, 2005, this Order is hereby issued by the Deputy Insurance Commissioner of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the statutes cited above and in disposition of the matter captioned above.

1. Respondent hereby admits and acknowledges that he has received proper notice of his rights to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, 2 Pa.C.S. § 101, et seq., or other applicable law.

2. Respondent hereby waives all rights to a formal administrative hearing in this matter, and agrees that this Consent Order, and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, shall have the full force and effect of an Order duly entered in accordance with the adjudicatory procedures set forth in the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other applicable law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

3. The Deputy Insurance Commissioner finds true and correct each of the following Findings of Fact:

- (a) Respondent is Yogesh Patel, and maintains his address at 145 Gwynmont Drive, North Wales, Pennsylvania, 19454.
- (b) At all subsequent times hereto, Respondent has been a licensed insurance producer.
- (c) On or about May 21, 2003, Respondent prepared an Allianz Life Insurance Company of North America's Application for Agent Agreement with M&O Marketing and affixed Praful Ajmera's non-genuine signature to said form without the consent or knowledge of Ajmera.

- (d) On June 22, 2005, Respondent admitted to placing the non-genuine signature on the application reflecting it was done to expedite the Agreement.
- (e) On December 22, 2004, Ajmera completed an Allianz's annuity application for Nalimi Mehta and submitted the contract to the carrier reflecting a 100% commission rate.
- (f) On or about December 22, 2004, Respondent, without the consent or knowledge of Ajmera, resubmitted Mehta's annuity application and changed "Page 4" of the contract to reflect a 50%-50% split between the Respondent and Ajmera.
- (g) On May 18, 2005, Allianz Life Insurance Company of America terminated Respondent's appointment for cause for submitting an application bearing a non-genuine signature of Praful Ajmera.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

4. In accord with the above Findings of Fact and applicable provisions of law, the Deputy Insurance Commissioner concludes and finds the following Conclusions of Law:

- (a) Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Insurance Department.
- (b) Section 611-A(7) of Act 147 of 2002 prohibits a licensee from using fraudulent, coercive or dishonest practices or demonstrating incompetence, untrustworthiness or financial irresponsibility in the conduct of doing business (40 P.S. § 310.11).
- (c) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(b) through 3(g) violates Section 611-A(7) of Act 147 of 2002.
- (d) Section 622-A(9) of Act 147 of 2002 prohibits a licensee from forging another person's name on an application for insurance or on any document related to an insurance or financial service transaction.
- (e) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(b) through 3(g) violates Section 611 A(9) of Act 147 of 2002.
- (f) Section 611-A(17) of Act 147 of 2002 prohibits a licensee from committing fraud, forgery, dishonest acts or an act involving a breach of fiduciary duty (40 P.S. § 310.11).

- (g) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(b) through 3(g) violates Section 611-A(17) of Act 147 of 2002.

- (h) Section 611-A(20) of Act 147 of 2002 prohibits a licensee from demonstrating a lack of general fitness, competence or reliability sufficient to satisfy the department that the licensee is worthy of licensure (40 P.S. § 310.11).

- (i) Respondent's activities described above in paragraphs 3(b) through 3(g) violates Section 611-A(20) of Act 147 of 2002.

- (j) Respondent's violations of Sections 611-A(7), (9), (17) and (20) of Act 147 of 2002 are punishable by the following, under Section 691-A of Act 147 of 2002 (40 P.S. § 310.91):
 - (i) suspension, revocation or refusal to issue the certificate of qualification or license;
 - (ii) imposition of a civil penalty not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation of the Act;
 - (iii) an order to cease and desist; and
 - (iv) any other conditions as the Commissioner deems appropriate.

ORDER

5. In accord with the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Deputy Insurance Commissioner orders and Respondent consents to the following:

- (a) Respondent shall cease and desist from engaging in the activities described herein in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

- (b) Respondent's certificates and licenses may be immediately suspended by the Department following its investigation and determination that (i) any terms of this Order have not been complied with, or (ii) any complaint against Respondent is accurate and a statute or regulation has been violated. The Department's right to act under this section is limited to a period of five (5) years from the date of this Order.

- (c) Respondent specifically waives his right to prior notice of said suspension, but will be entitled to a hearing upon written request received by the Department no later than thirty (30) days after the date the Department mailed to Respondent by certified mail, return receipt requested, notification of said suspension, which hearing shall be scheduled for a date within sixty (60) days of the Department's receipt of Respondent's written request.

- (d) At the hearing referred to in paragraph 5(c) of this Order, Respondent shall

have the burden of demonstrating that he is worthy of a license.

- (e) In the event Respondent's certificates and licenses are suspended pursuant to paragraph 5(b) above, and Respondent either fails to request a hearing within thirty (30) days or at the hearing fails to demonstrate that he is worthy of a license, Respondent's suspended certificates and licenses shall be revoked.

6. In the event the Deputy Insurance Commissioner finds that there has been a breach of any of the provisions of this Order, based upon the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein, the Department may pursue any and all legal remedies available, including but not limited to the following: The Department may enforce the provisions of this Order in an administrative action pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other relevant provision of law; or, if applicable, the Department may enforce the provisions of this Order in any other court of law or equity having jurisdiction.

7. Alternatively, in the event the Deputy Commissioner finds that there has been a breach of any of the provisions of this Order, the Deputy Commissioner may declare this Order to be null and void and, thereupon, reopen the entire matter for appropriate action pursuant to the Administrative Agency Law, supra, or other relevant provision of law.

8. In any such enforcement proceeding, Respondent may contest whether a breach of the provisions of this Order has occurred but may not contest the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein.

9. Respondent hereby expressly waives any relevant statute of limitations and application of the doctrine of laches for purposes of any enforcement of this Order.

10. This Order constitutes the entire agreement of the parties with respect to the matters referred to herein, and it may not be amended or modified except by an amended order signed by all the parties hereto.

11. This Order shall be final upon execution by the Deputy Insurance Commissioner. Only the Insurance Commissioner or the duly authorized Deputy Insurance Commissioner is authorized to bind the Insurance Department with respect to the settlement of the alleged violation of law contained herein, and this Consent Order is not effective until executed by the Insurance Commissioner or the duly authorized Deputy Insurance Commissioner.

BY:



YOGESH PATEL, Respondent



RANDOLPH L. ROHRBAUGH
Deputy Insurance Commissioner
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania